

## REMARKS

In the Office Action dated April 25, 2005, the Office continued their rejection of claims 1-13. More specifically, the Office continued to reject claims 1 and 2 over two previous prior art references of record. The Office did, however, indicate on page 5 of the recent Office Action that claims 3-13 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 3 has been amended in accordance with the helpful suggestions of the Office. Claims 1 and 2 are cancelled hereby.

Applicant has cancelled claim 13 thereby obviating further objections to the disclosure as provided in paragraph 7.2 of the Office Action.

In view of the foregoing, it would appear that this application is now in condition for Notice of Allowance and prompt efforts in that regard with respect to claims 3-35 are requested in the Office's next action.

Applicant, by and through their counsel, would request that the Office telephone the attorneys in the event that a further telephone conference is required in order to place this case in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

KEVIN J. NICHOLLS et al.

Dated: 25 May 05

By: 

George G. Grigel  
Reg. No. 31,166